

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)**SciVerse ScienceDirect**

Journal of Functional Analysis 262 (2012) 3091–3107

---

**JOURNAL OF  
Functional  
Analysis**


---

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa)

# Ground states for a system of Schrödinger equations with critical exponent<sup>☆</sup>

Zhijie Chen, Wenming Zou<sup>\*</sup>*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

Received 30 May 2011; accepted 5 January 2012

Available online 2 February 2012

Communicated by L. Gross

---

## Abstract

We study the following system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \mu u = |u|^{p-1}u + \lambda v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + \nu v = |v|^{2^*-2}v + \lambda u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where  $N \geq 3$ ,  $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ ,  $1 < p < 2^* - 1$  and  $\mu, \nu, \lambda$  are positive parameters satisfying  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu\nu}$ . We show that, there is some critical value  $\mu_0 \in (0, 1)$ , such that this system has a positive ground state solution if  $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0$ . In the case  $\mu > \mu_0$ , there exists  $\lambda_{\mu, \nu} \in [\sqrt{(\mu - \mu_0)\nu}, \sqrt{\mu\nu})$  such that, this system has no ground state solutions if  $\lambda < \lambda_{\mu, \nu}$ ; while this system has a positive ground state solution if  $\lambda > \lambda_{\mu, \nu}$ . In particular, if  $p = 2^* - 1$ , the system has no nontrivial solutions. Some further properties of the ground state solutions are also studied. This seems to be the first result for such a critical Schrödinger system.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Ground states; Schrödinger system; Critical exponent

---



---

<sup>☆</sup> Supported by NSFC (11025106, 10871109).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* [chenzhijie1987@sina.com](mailto:chenzhijie1987@sina.com) (Z. Chen), [wzou@math.tsinghua.edu.cn](mailto:wzou@math.tsinghua.edu.cn) (W. Zou).

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the following system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \mu u = |u|^{p-1}u + \lambda v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + \nu v = |v|^{q-1}v + \lambda u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\mu, \nu, \lambda$  are positive parameters,  $1 < p, q \leq 2^* - 1$  and  $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$  is the critical Sobolev exponent. Systems of this type arise in nonlinear optics (cf. [1]).

It is well known that a solution  $(u, v) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of (1.1) is called a bound state. A bound state such that  $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$  is called a nontrivial bound state. A solution is called a ground state if  $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$  and its energy is minimal among the energy of all the nontrivial bound states of (1.1). A ground state satisfying  $u > 0, v > 0$  is called a positive ground state.

The case of a single equation  $-\Delta u + u = |u|^{p-1}u$ ,  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , has been widely studied by many researchers, and related results can be seen in [5–7, 16–18] and the references therein. On the other hand, there are also some results on the linearly coupled system (1.1) in the past several years. In case  $N \leq 3$ ,  $\mu = \nu = 1$ ,  $p = q = 3$  and  $\lambda > 0$  small enough, Ambrosetti, Colorado and Ruiz [3] proved that (1.1) has multi-bump solitons. When  $|u|^{p-1}u$  and  $|v|^{q-1}v$  are replaced by  $f(x, u) = (1 + c(x))|u|^{p-1}u$  and  $g(x, v) = (1 + d(x))|v|^{q-1}v$  respectively, system (1.1) has been studied by Ambrosetti [2] with dimension  $N = 1$  and Ambrosetti, Cerami and Ruiz [4] with dimension  $N \geq 2$ . When  $\mu = \nu = 1$ ,  $1 < p = q < 2^* - 1$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , Ambrosetti–Cerami–Ruiz proved that system (1.1) has a positive ground state solution (see Section 3 in [4]). Note that this result can be extended to the more general case of  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu\nu}$  and  $1 < p, q < 2^* - 1$  by the classical result of Brezis and Lieb [8]. Actually, Brezis and Lieb [8] consider some systems of equations

$$-\Delta u_i(x) = g^i(u(x)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.2)$$

where the  $n$  functions  $g^i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are the gradients of some function  $G \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , namely  $g^i(u) = \partial G(u)/\partial u_i$ . Under some conditions on  $g^i$  (see (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.8) in [8]), they proved that (1.2) has a ground state solution which belongs to  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for any  $q < +\infty$  (see Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 in [8]). Recently, Byeon, Jeanjean and Maris [9] proved that ground state solutions of (1.2) obtained in [8] must be radial up to a translation. Note that (1.1) is a special case of (1.2), and  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu\nu}$  with  $1 < p, q < 2^* - 1$  are consistent with those conditions on  $g^i$  in [8]; it follows that (1.1) produces a ground state solution in this case. Some related systems of (1.1) in subcritical case were also studied in [12].

Note that in all these works, they only considered the subcritical case  $1 < p, q < 2^* - 1$ . The critical case for such a system has not ever been studied by variational methods. In this paper, we study the critical case, that is,  $1 < p \leq q = 2^* - 1$ . Since we are concerned with the ground states, we assume  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu\nu}$  in the sequel. This assumption is needed to guarantee that the Nehari manifold is bounded away from  $(0, 0)$ . Remark that, if  $p = q = 2^* - 1$ , then system (1.1) has no nontrivial solutions by the Pohozaev identity (see Remark 1.4 below). Thus we only consider the case of  $1 < p < q = 2^* - 1$ , and system (1.1) turns to be

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \mu u = |u|^{p-1}u + \lambda v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + \nu v = |v|^{2^*-2}v + \lambda u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Let  $C_{p+1}$  be the sharp constant of the Sobolev embedding  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 dx \geq C_{p+1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}, \quad (1.4)$$

and  $S$  the sharp constant of  $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq S \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}. \quad (1.5)$$

Here,  $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : |\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$  with norm

$$\|u\|_{D^{1,2}} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Define

$$\mu_0 = \left[ \frac{2(p+1)}{N(p-1)} S^{\frac{N}{2}} C_{p+1}^{-\frac{p+1}{p-1}} \right]^{(\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{N}{2})^{-1}}. \quad (1.6)$$

The first main result of the current paper is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume  $N \geq 3$ ,  $1 < p < 2^* - 1$  and  $\mu, v > 0$ ,  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu v}$ . Let  $\mu_0$  be in (1.6).

- (1) If  $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0$ , then problem (1.3) has a positive ground state  $(u, v)$ , such that  $u, v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  are both radial symmetric decreasing.
- (2) If  $\mu > \mu_0$ , then there exists  $\lambda_{\mu, v} \in [\sqrt{(\mu - \mu_0)v}, \sqrt{\mu v})$  such that
  - (i) if  $\lambda < \lambda_{\mu, v}$ , then problem (1.3) has no ground state solutions;
  - (ii) if  $\lambda > \lambda_{\mu, v}$ , then problem (1.3) has a positive ground state  $(u, v)$ , such that  $u, v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  are both radial symmetric decreasing.

**Remark 1.1.** It is interesting that, whether the ground state of (1.3) exists or not depends heavily on the relation of  $\mu, v, \lambda$  and  $\mu_0$ , and  $\mu_0$  can be seen as a critical value of (1.3). In particular, the case  $\mu > \mu_0$  is more delicate, and  $\lambda_{\mu, v}$  can be seen as a critical value in this case. The existence of the ground states for  $\lambda = \lambda_{\mu, v}$  remains an open question (see Remark 2.1).

**Remark 1.2.** Though the exact value of  $C_{p+1}$  seems unknown, we have the following by-product on the lower bound estimate for  $C_{p+1}$ :

$$C_{p+1} > \tilde{C} := \alpha^{-\alpha} (1 - \alpha)^{-(1-\alpha)} S^{1-\alpha}, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$\alpha := N \left( \frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \in (0, 1).$$

Using (1.6) and (1.7) we can prove that

$$\mu_0 < \bar{\mu}_0 := \alpha(1 - \alpha)^{\frac{N-2}{2}(\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{N}{2})^{-1}} < 1, \quad (1.8)$$

see Lemma 2.3.

**Remark 1.3.** We can also give a estimate from above for  $\lambda_{\mu,v}$ , that is, some number  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mu,v} < \sqrt{\mu v}$  such that  $\lambda_{\mu,v} < \tilde{\lambda}_{\mu,v}$ , see Lemma 2.4 below.

**Remark 1.4 (Nonexistence).** Assume that  $\mu, v > 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu v}$ . Let  $(u, v)$  be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \mu u = |u|^{2^*-2}u + \lambda v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + v = |v|^{2^*-2}v + \lambda u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

Then one may prove that  $(u, v)$  satisfies the Pohozaev identity

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*} dx + 2^* \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv dx \\ &\quad - \frac{2^*}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mu |u|^2 + v |v|^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + \mu |u|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v|^2 + v |v|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*} dx + 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv dx, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + v \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 dx - 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv dx = 0.$$

This implies  $(u, v) \equiv (0, 0)$ . Therefore, system (1.9) has no nontrivial solutions.

We can also study some further properties of the ground states obtained in Theorem 1.1. Precisely, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume  $\mu, v, \lambda$  satisfy the hypotheses in (1) or (2)(ii) of Theorem 1.1. Let  $(u, v)$  be any a ground state of (1.3) which exists by Theorem 1.1, then up to a translation,  $u, v \in$

$C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  are positive radial symmetric decreasing. Moreover, there exists a positive constant  $C = C(\mu, v, \lambda)$  independent of  $(u, v)$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C.$$

Finally, fix any  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$ ,  $v > 0$  and let  $\lambda_n \in (0, \sqrt{\mu v})$  such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Let  $(u_{\lambda_n}, v_{\lambda_n})$  be any positive radial ground state of (1.3) with  $\lambda = \lambda_n$ . Then, passing to a subsequence,  $(u_{\lambda_n}, v_{\lambda_n}) \rightarrow (u_0, 0)$  strongly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $u_0$  is a positive radial ground state of

$$-\Delta u + \mu u = |u|^{p-1}u, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The rest of this paper proves Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, where we will use the Nehari manifold approach and blowup analysis. For a single equation, blowup analysis has been widely used in the literature, we refer the readers to [11,13,15] and references therein. For systems, blowup analysis was carried out in great generality by Druet and Hebey [14]. Precisely, they studied the following vector valued equations

$$\Delta_g^p \mathcal{U} + A(x)\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^{2^*-1}, \quad (1.10)$$

where  $\mathcal{U} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  is a  $p$ -map nonnegative components,  $\Delta_g^p$  is the Laplace–Beltrami operator acting on  $p$ -maps,  $(M, g)$  is a smooth compact Riemannian  $N$ -manifold. Then the blowup phenomena of (1.10) is well studied in [14]. For related studies, we refer the readers to [14] and references therein.

We give some notations here. Throughout this paper, we denote the norm of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  by  $\|u\|_p = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , and positive constants (possibly different) by  $C$ . Denote  $X := H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , endowed with the standard scalar product and norm

$$\langle u, v \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + uv) dx, \quad \|u\|^2 := \langle u, u \rangle.$$

Denote  $H := X \times X$  with the norm  $\|(u, v)\|^2 := \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2$ , and write

$$\|u\|_\mu^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + \mu|u|^2) dx$$

for convenience.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

In this section, we assume that  $N \geq 3$ ,  $1 < p < 2^* - 1$  and  $\mu, v > 0$ ,  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu v}$ . Obviously, the bound states of (1.3) are the critical points of the  $C^2$  functional  $I_{\mu, v, \lambda} : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(u, v) &:= I_\lambda(u, v) := I_{\mu, v, \lambda}(u, v) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_v^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p+1} |u|_{p+1}^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*} |v|_{2^*}^{2^*} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, the subscripts  $\mu, v, \lambda$  will be dropped when there is no possible misunderstanding. Define the Nehari manifold corresponding to  $I$  as

$$M := M_\lambda := M_{\mu, v, \lambda} := \{(u, v) \in H \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : I'(u, v)(u, v) = 0\}.$$

Since  $\mu, v > 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu v}$ , it is easily seen that there exists some  $\rho_{\mu, v, \lambda} > 0$  such that

$$\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2 \geq \rho_{\mu, v, \lambda}, \quad \forall (u, v) \in M_{\mu, v, \lambda}.$$

Combining this it is easy to see that  $M$  is a complete smooth manifold. Moreover, it is well known that the critical points of  $I$  constrained on  $M$  are also critical points of  $I$  on  $H$  and hence solutions of (1.3). Define

$$m_{\mu, v, \lambda} := \inf_{(u, v) \in M_{\mu, v, \lambda}} I(u, v). \quad (2.1)$$

Then  $(u, v) \in M_{\mu, v, \lambda}$  such that  $I(u, v) = m_{\mu, v, \lambda}$  will be a ground state of (1.3).

For any  $(u, v) \in H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t>0} I_\lambda(tu, tv) &= I_\lambda(t_{\lambda, u, v}u, t_{\lambda, u, v}v) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right)t_{\lambda, u, v}^{p+1}|u|_{p+1}^{p+1} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)t_{\lambda, u, v}^{2^*-2}|v|_{2^*}^{2^*}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $t_{\lambda, u, v} > 0$  satisfies  $\varphi(\lambda, u, v, t_{\lambda, u, v}) = 0$  and

$$\varphi(\lambda, u, v, t) := \|u\|_\mu^2 + \|v\|_v^2 - 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv \, dx - t^{p-1}|u|_{p+1}^{p+1} - t^{2^*-2}|v|_{2^*}^{2^*}. \quad (2.3)$$

This implies  $(t_{\lambda, u, v}u, t_{\lambda, u, v}v) \in M_\lambda$  and so

$$m_{\mu, v, \lambda} = \inf_{(u, v) \in H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}} \max_{t>0} I(tu, tv). \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $\varphi(\lambda, u, v, t)$  is decreasing with respect to  $t > 0$  and  $\varphi(\lambda, u, v, 0) > 0$ ,  $t_{\lambda, u, v}$  is unique. Moreover,  $t_{\lambda, u, v} = 1$  if  $(u, v) \in M_\lambda$ . Since

$$\max_{t>0} I(tu, tv) \geq \max_{t>0} I(t|u|, t|v|), \quad (2.5)$$

we also have

$$m_{\mu, v, \lambda} = \inf_{(u, v) \in H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}} \max_{t>0} I(t|u|, t|v|). \quad (2.6)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** For fixed  $\mu, v > 0$ ,  $m_{\mu, v, \lambda}$  is non-increasing with respect to  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ . Then for any  $(u, v) \in H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  and  $t > 0$ , we have  $I_{\lambda_1}(t|u|, t|v|) \geq I_{\lambda_2}(t|u|, t|v|)$ . Using (2.6) we get that  $m_{\mu, v, \lambda_1} \geq m_{\mu, v, \lambda_2}$ .  $\square$

Define

$$f_{\beta, \gamma}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\beta}^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \gamma |u|_{p+1}^{p+1}, \quad g(v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2^*} |v|_{2^*}^{2^*}, \quad (2.7)$$

and denote  $f_{\beta} := f_{\beta, 1}$ . Then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** For any  $(u, v) \in H$  with  $u \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ , there holds

$$\max_{t>0} I(tu, tv) > \min \left\{ \max_{t>0} f_{\mu-\lambda^2/v}(tu), \max_{t>0} g(tv) \right\}.$$

**Proof.** Fix any a pair  $(u, v) \in H$  with  $u \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ . Note that  $2\lambda uv \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{v} u^2 + v^2$ , we have

$$I(tu, tv) \geq f_{\mu-\lambda^2/v}(tu) + g(tv).$$

Moreover, there exist  $t_1, t_2 > 0$  such that

$$\max_{t>0} f_{\mu-\lambda^2/v}(tu) = f_{\mu-\lambda^2/v}(t_1 u), \quad \max_{t>0} g(tv) = g(t_2 v).$$

If  $t_1 \geq t_2$ , then  $f_{\mu-\lambda^2/v}(t_2 u) > 0$  and  $I(t_2 u, t_2 v) > g(t_2 v) = \max_{t>0} g(tv)$ . If  $t_1 < t_2$ , then  $g(t_1 v) > 0$  and  $I(t_1 u, t_1 v) > f_{\mu-\lambda^2/v}(t_1 u) = \max_{t>0} f_{\mu-\lambda^2/v}(tu)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $w$  be the radially symmetric positive solution of  $-\Delta u + u = u^p$ ,  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . By [16] we see that  $w$  is unique up to a translation and attains the sharp constant  $C_{p+1}$  in (1.4), with energy

$$f_1(w) = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) C_{p+1}^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}},$$

where  $f_1$  is defined in (2.7). Therefore,  $w_{\beta, \gamma}(x) := \beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} w(\sqrt{\beta} x)$  is the unique positive solution of  $-\Delta u + \beta u = \gamma u^p$ ,  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with energy

$$f_{\beta, \gamma}(w_{\beta, \gamma}) = \gamma^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} \beta^{\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{N}{2}} f_1(w) = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \gamma^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} \beta^{\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{N}{2}} C_{p+1}^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Here,  $\beta, \gamma > 0$ . Denote  $w_{\beta} := w_{\beta, 1}$  for convenience. Define  $\alpha := N(\frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*}) \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$\frac{1}{p+1} = \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1-\alpha}{2^*}.$$

Recall  $S, \mu_0, \bar{\mu}_0$  in (1.5), (1.6) and (1.8), we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *There holds  $0 < \mu_0 < \bar{\mu}_0 < 1$ , and*

$$f_\mu(w_\mu) \begin{cases} > \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2} & \text{if } \mu > \mu_0, \\ = \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_0, \\ < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2} & \text{if } \mu < \mu_0. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

**Proof.** By (1.6) and (2.8) we see that  $f_{\mu_0}(w_{\mu_0}) = \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$ . Recall that  $p < 2^* - 1$ , we have  $\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{N}{2} > 0$ , and so (2.9) follows directly from (2.8). The fact  $\bar{\mu}_0 < 1$  is ensured by  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and the definition (1.8).

It suffices to prove  $f_{\bar{\mu}_0}(w_{\bar{\mu}_0}) > \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$ . For any  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u \neq 0$ , we see from Hölder inequality and Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx \right)^\alpha \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}(1-\alpha)} \\ &\leq \alpha \varepsilon^{1/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + (1-\alpha) \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $C_0 > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$C_0 \alpha \varepsilon_0^{1/\alpha} = 1, \quad C_0 (1-\alpha) \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} = S,$$

then we have

$$C_0 = S^{1-\alpha} (1-\alpha)^{-(1-\alpha)} \alpha^{-\alpha}$$

and

$$\|u\|_1^2 > \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + S \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \geq C_0 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}.$$

This implies  $C_{p+1} > C_0$  by letting  $u = w$ , that is, (1.7) holds. Combining this with (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\mu(w_\mu) &> \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \mu^{\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{N}{2}} (S^{1-\alpha} (1-\alpha)^{-(1-\alpha)} \alpha^{-\alpha})^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2} (1-\alpha)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \alpha^{\frac{N}{2} - \frac{p+1}{p-1}} \mu^{\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{N}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $f_{\bar{\mu}_0}(w_{\bar{\mu}_0}) > \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$ . So  $\mu_0 < \bar{\mu}_0$ .  $\square$

For any  $\mu > \mu_0$ ,  $v > 0$ , we define a  $C^1$  function  $h_{\mu,v} : (0 + \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$h_{\mu,v}(a) := \frac{\mu + va^2}{2a} - \frac{\mu_0}{2a} (1 + a^2)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{N}{2})^{-1}}. \quad (2.10)$$



Then

$$h_{\mu,v}(a) > \frac{\mu - \mu_0 + va^2}{2a} \geq \sqrt{(\mu - \mu_0)v},$$

and so  $h_{\mu,v}(a) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $a \rightarrow 0+$ . Meanwhile,  $h_{\mu,v}$  is increasing with respect to  $a \in [\sqrt{\mu/v}, +\infty)$ . Therefore, there exists  $a_{\mu,v} \in (0, \sqrt{\mu/v})$  such that

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mu,v} := h_{\mu,v}(a_{\mu,v}) := \min_{a \in (0, +\infty)} h_{\mu,v}(a). \quad (2.11)$$

Note that  $h_{\mu,v}(\sqrt{\mu/v}) < \sqrt{\mu v}$ , we get that

$$\sqrt{(\mu - \mu_0)v} < \tilde{\lambda}_{\mu,v} < \sqrt{\mu v}. \quad (2.12)$$

#### Lemma 2.4.

- (1) If  $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0$ , then  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda} < \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ .
- (2) If  $\mu > \mu_0$ , then there exists some  $\lambda_{\mu,v} \in [\sqrt{(\mu - \mu_0)v}, \tilde{\lambda}_{\mu,v})$ , here  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mu,v}$  is seen in (2.11), such that
  - (i) if  $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_{\mu,v}$ , then  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda} = \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ ;
  - (ii) if  $\lambda_{\mu,v} < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu v}$ , then  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda} < \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ .

**Proof.** (1) If  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$ , then it follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\max_{t>0} I_{\mu,v,\lambda}(tw_{\mu}, 0) = \max_{t>0} f_{\mu}(tw_{\mu}) = f_{\mu}(w_{\mu}) < \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2},$$

so  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda} < \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ .

When  $\mu = \mu_0$ , then  $m_{\mu_0,v,\lambda} \leq f_{\mu_0}(w_{\mu_0}) = \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ . Assume that  $m_{\mu_0,v,\lambda} = \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ , then

$$I_{\mu_0,v,\lambda}(w_{\mu_0}, 0) = m_{\mu_0,v,\lambda}, \quad (w_{\mu_0}, 0) \in M_{\mu_0,v,\lambda},$$

which implies that  $(w_{\mu_0}, 0)$  is a ground state solution of (1.3). Since  $\lambda > 0$ , we get that  $w_{\mu_0} \equiv 0$ , a contradiction. So  $m_{\mu_0,v,\lambda} < \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ .

(2) We fix any  $\mu > \mu_0$ ,  $v > 0$ . First we claim that

$$m_{\mu,v,\lambda} = \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2} \quad \text{if } 0 < \lambda \leq \sqrt{(\mu - \mu_0)v}. \quad (2.13)$$

Assume  $0 < \lambda \leq \sqrt{(\mu - \mu_0)v}$ , then  $\mu - \frac{\lambda^2}{v} \geq \mu_0$ . Similarly as (2.4), we have

$$f_{\mu}(w_{\mu}) = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} f_{\mu}(tu).$$

By (1.5) we have

$$\inf_{v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} g(tv) = \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}.$$

For any  $(u, v) \in H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , if  $v = 0$ , then  $\max_{t>0} I(tu, 0) = \max_{t>0} f_\mu(tu) \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$ . If  $u = 0$ , then  $\max_{t>0} I(0, tv) \geq \max_{t>0} g(tv) \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$ . If  $u \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ , then by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\max_{t>0} I(tu, tv) > \min \left\{ \max_{t>0} f_{\mu-\lambda^2/v}(tu), \max_{t>0} g(tv) \right\} \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}. \quad (2.14)$$

Combining these with (2.4), we see that  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda} \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$ . On the other hand, since the equation  $-\Delta v + \nu v = |v|^{2^*-2}v$ ,  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  has no nontrivial solutions by the Pohozaev identity (similarly to Remark 1.4), it is easily seen that  $S$  is also the sharp constant (although cannot be attained) of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 + \nu |v|^2 dx \geq S \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}},$$

which implies that

$$m_{\mu,v,\lambda} \leq \inf_{v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} I(0, tv) = \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}, \quad (2.15)$$

and so  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda} = \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$ , that is, (2.13) holds.

To prove (i)–(ii), we let  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu\nu}$ . Recall  $a_{\mu,v}$  in (2.11), we define

$$\beta := \frac{\mu + \nu a_{\mu,v}^2 - 2\lambda a_{\mu,v}}{1 + a_{\mu,v}^2}, \quad \gamma := \frac{1}{1 + a_{\mu,v}^2}.$$

Then  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ . It is easy to see from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\mu,v,\lambda} &\leq \max_{t>0} I(tw_{\beta,\gamma}, t(a_{\mu,v}w_{\beta,\gamma})) \\ &< (1 + a_{\mu,v}^2) \max_{t>0} f_{\beta,\gamma}(tw_{\beta,\gamma}) = (1 + a_{\mu,v}^2) f_{\beta,\gamma}(w_{\beta,\gamma}) \\ &= (1 + a_{\mu,v}^2)^{\frac{N}{2}} (\mu + \nu a_{\mu,v}^2 - 2\lambda a_{\mu,v})^{\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{N}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) C_{p+1}^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} =: A_0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3 we see that  $A_0 \leq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$  is equivalent to

$$(1 + a_{\mu,v}^2)^{\frac{N}{2}} (\mu + \nu a_{\mu,v}^2 - 2\lambda a_{\mu,v})^{\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{N}{2}} \leq \mu_0^{\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{N}{2}}.$$

By (2.10) and (2.11), we see that the above inequality is equivalent to  $\lambda \geq \tilde{\lambda}_{\mu,v}$ . Combining this with (2.12), for any  $\lambda \in [\tilde{\lambda}_{\mu,v}, \sqrt{\mu\nu})$ , we have  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda} < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$ . Define

$$\lambda_{\mu,v} := \inf \left\{ \lambda < \sqrt{\mu\nu}: m_{\mu,v,\tau} < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}, \forall \tau \in [\lambda, \sqrt{\mu\nu}) \right\}.$$

Then (2.13) implies  $\lambda_{\mu,v} \in [\sqrt{(\mu - \mu_0)v}, \tilde{\lambda}_{\mu,v}]$ , and for any  $\lambda \in (\lambda_{\mu,v}, \sqrt{\mu v})$ , there holds  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda} < \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ , that is, (ii) holds.

We claim that  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda_{\mu,v}} = \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ , which implies  $\lambda_{\mu,v} < \tilde{\lambda}_{\mu,v}$  immediately.

By (2.15) we have  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda_{\mu,v}} \leq \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ . By the definition of  $\lambda_{\mu,v}$ , there exists  $\lambda_n < \lambda_{\mu,v}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_n = \lambda_{\mu,v}, \quad m_n := m_{\mu,v,\lambda_n} \geq \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

For any  $(u, v) \in H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , there exists  $t_n > 0$  such that  $\max_{t>0} I_{\mu,v,\lambda_n}(tu, tv) = I_{\mu,v,\lambda_n}(t_n u, t_n v)$ . Since  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_{\mu,v}$ , we have  $t_n \rightarrow t_0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $t_0 > 0$  satisfies  $\max_{t>0} I_{\mu,v,\lambda_{\mu,v}}(tu, tv) = I_{\mu,v,\lambda_{\mu,v}}(t_0 u, t_0 v)$ . Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} m_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I_{\mu,v,\lambda_n}(t_n u, t_n v) = I_{\mu,v,\lambda_{\mu,v}}(t_0 u, t_0 v).$$

This implies

$$\frac{1}{N}S^{N/2} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} m_n \leq m_{\mu,v,\lambda_{\mu,v}},$$

and so  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda_{\mu,v}} = \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ . By Lemma 2.1 and (2.13) we see that (i) holds. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following lemma is concerned with the nonexistence of ground state solutions.

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $\mu > \mu_0$  and  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_{\mu,v}$ , then problem (1.3) has no ground state solutions.*

**Proof.** Fix any  $v > 0$  and  $\mu > \mu_0$ . Assume by contradiction that there exists  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_{\mu,v})$  such that (1.3) has a ground state solution  $(u_\lambda, v_\lambda) \neq (0, 0)$ . Then  $I_\lambda(u_\lambda, v_\lambda) = m_{\mu,v,\lambda} = \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ . By (1.3) we see that  $u \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ . By (2.5) and (2.6) we may assume that  $u \geq 0$ ,  $v \geq 0$  (or see the proof of Theorem 1.2 below). By elliptic regularity theory, we see that  $u_\lambda, v_\lambda \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and so  $u_\lambda > 0$ ,  $v_\lambda > 0$  by the strong maximum principle. Take  $\lambda_1 \in (\lambda, \lambda_{\mu,v})$ . Then we see from Lemma 2.4, (2.2) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2} &= m_{\mu,v,\lambda_1} \leq \max_{t>0} I_{\lambda_1}(tu_\lambda, tv_\lambda) \\ &= I_{\lambda_1}(t_{\lambda_1,u_\lambda,v_\lambda} u_\lambda, t_{\lambda_1,u_\lambda,v_\lambda} v_\lambda) \\ &= I_\lambda(t_{\lambda_1,u_\lambda,v_\lambda} u_\lambda, t_{\lambda_1,u_\lambda,v_\lambda} v_\lambda) - (\lambda_1 - \lambda)t_{\lambda_1,u_\lambda,v_\lambda}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\lambda v_\lambda dx \\ &< I_\lambda(t_{\lambda_1,u_\lambda,v_\lambda} u_\lambda, t_{\lambda_1,u_\lambda,v_\lambda} v_\lambda) \leq I_\lambda(u_\lambda, v_\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu\nu}$ . If  $m_{\mu,v,\lambda} < \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ , then problem (1.3) has a ground state  $(u_0, v_0) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u_0, v_0$  are both positive radial symmetric decreasing with respect to  $r = |x| \in [0, +\infty)$ .

**Proof.** Fix any  $\mu, v, \lambda > 0$  with  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu\nu}$ , and denote  $m := m_{\mu,v,\lambda} < \frac{1}{N}S^{N/2}$ . Let  $\varepsilon_n \in (0, 2^* - 1 - p)$  such that  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . As has been pointed out in Section 1, by [8] the following subcritical problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \mu u = |u|^{p-1}u + \lambda v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + \nu v = |v|^{2^*-2-\varepsilon_n}v + \lambda u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

has a ground state solution  $(u_n, v_n) \in H$ , with energy  $c_n := J_n(u_n, v_n)$ . Here

$$J_n(u, v) := \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_\nu^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*-\varepsilon_n}|v|^{2^*-\varepsilon_n} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv \, dx.$$

By a similar proof of Theorem 1.3 in [12], we may assume that  $u_n > 0, v_n > 0, u_n, v_n \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $u_n, v_n$  are radial symmetric decreasing. Similarly as (2.4), we have

$$c_n = \inf_{(u,v) \in H \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \max_{t>0} J_n(tu, tv).$$

For any  $(u, v) \in H \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ , there exists  $t_{u,v,n} > 0$  such that  $\max_{t>0} J_n(tu, tv) = J_n(t_{u,v,n}u, t_{u,v,n}v)$ . Recall  $t_{\lambda,u,v}$  in (2.2), it is easily seen that  $t_{u,v,n} \rightarrow t_{\lambda,u,v}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} J_n(t_{u,v,n}u, t_{u,v,n}v) = I(t_{\lambda,u,v}u, t_{\lambda,u,v}v) = \max_{t>0} I(tu, tv).$$

This implies  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_n \leq m$ . So  $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= J_n(u_n, v_n) - \frac{1}{p+1} J'_n(u_n, v_n)(u_n, v_n) \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \left( \|u_n\|_\mu^2 + \|v_n\|_\nu^2 - 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n v_n \, dx \right) \\ &\geq C(\|u_n\|^2 + \|v_n\|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

we get that  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $H$ . Then passing to a subsequence, we may assume that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (u_0, v_0)$  weakly in  $H$ , and so  $(u_0, v_0)$  satisfies (1.3). Since  $u_n, v_n$  are radial, we see that  $u_0, v_0$  are radial and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p+1} \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{p+1} \, dx. \quad (2.18)$$

Assume that  $u_n(0) + v_n(0) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} u_n(x) + \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} v_n(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . We will use a blowup analysis to get a contradiction. Define  $K_n := \max\{u_n(0), v_n(0)\}$ , then  $K_n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Define

$$U_n(x) = K_n^{-1} u_n(K_n^{-\alpha_n} x), \quad V_n(x) = K_n^{-1} v_n(K_n^{-\alpha_n} x), \quad \alpha_n = \frac{2^* - 2 - \varepsilon_n}{2}.$$

Then  $1 = \max\{U_n(0), V_n(0)\} = \max\{\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} U_n(x), \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V_n(x)\}$  and  $U_n, V_n$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta U_n + \mu K_n^{-2\alpha_n} U_n = K_n^{p-1-2\alpha_n} U_n^p + \lambda K_n^{-2\alpha_n} V_n, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta V_n + \nu K_n^{-2\alpha_n} V_n = V_n^{2^*-1-\varepsilon_n} + \lambda K_n^{-2\alpha_n} U_n, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_n|^2 dx = K_n^{-\frac{(N-2)\varepsilon_n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx,$$

we see that  $\{(U_n, V_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded in  $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) =: D$ . By elliptic estimates, for a subsequence we have  $(U_n, V_n) \rightarrow (U, V) \in D$  uniformly in every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and  $U, V$  satisfy

$$-\Delta U = 0, \quad -\Delta V = V^{2^*-1}, \quad 0 \leq U, V \leq 1 = \max\{U(0), V(0)\}.$$

If  $U(0) = 1$ , then by Liouville's theorem we have  $U(x) \equiv 1$ . However,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{2^*} dx \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_n^{2^*} dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} K_n^{-N\varepsilon_n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^{2^*} dx < +\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. So  $V(0) = 1$ , and  $V \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a positive solution of  $-\Delta v = |v|^{2^*-2}v$ ,  $v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . This implies  $|V|_{2^*}^{2^*} = S^{N/2}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2} &= \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |V|^{2^*} dx \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^* - \varepsilon_n} \right) K_n^{\frac{N-2}{2}\varepsilon_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |V_n|^{2^* - \varepsilon_n} dx \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^* - \varepsilon_n} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{2^* - \varepsilon_n} dx \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^* - \varepsilon_n} \right) |v_n|_{2^* - \varepsilon_n}^{2^* - \varepsilon_n} + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) |u_n|_{p+1}^{p+1} \right] \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_n \leq m < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is also a contradiction. Therefore,  $\{u_n, v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{2^* - \varepsilon_n} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_0|^{2^*} dx. \quad (2.19)$$

In fact, since  $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$  are radial and bounded in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we see that

$$v_n(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{uniformly for } n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $R_\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$  there holds (let  $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ )

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, R_\varepsilon)} |v_n|^{2^* - \varepsilon_n} dx \leq \varepsilon \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, R_\varepsilon)} |v_n|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon,$$

where  $B(0, R) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$ . On the other hand, since  $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B(0, R_\varepsilon)} |v_n|^{2^* - \varepsilon_n} dx = \int_{B(0, R_\varepsilon)} |v_0|^{2^*} dx.$$

So (2.19) holds. Meanwhile,  $J'_n(u_n, v_n)(u_n, v_n) = 0$  implies that  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded away from  $(0, 0)$  in  $H$ . Combining this with (2.17) we see that  $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n > 0$ . Note that  $(u_0, v_0)$  satisfies (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_0, v_0) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_0|^{2^*} dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{p+1} dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^* - \varepsilon_n}\right) |v_n|_{2^* - \varepsilon_n}^{2^* - \varepsilon_n} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) |u_n|_{p+1}^{p+1} \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_n \in (0, m]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(u_0, v_0) \neq (0, 0)$  and  $(u_0, v_0) \in M$ , which implies that  $I(u_0, v_0) = m = m_{\mu, v, \lambda}$ , that is,  $(u_0, v_0)$  is a ground state of (1.3), and  $u_0 \neq 0$ ,  $v_0 \neq 0$ . By (2.18) and (2.19) we have

$$\|u_0\|_\mu^2 + \|v_0\|_v^2 - 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0 v_0 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \|u_n\|_\mu^2 + \|v_n\|_v^2 - 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n v_n dx \right),$$

which implies that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0)$  strongly in  $H$ . Since  $\{u_n, v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have  $u_0, v_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , and so  $u_0, v_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\forall 2 \leq q \leq +\infty$ . Then by elliptic regularity theory,  $u_0, v_0 \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $u_n, v_n$  are positive radial symmetric decreasing, we see that  $u_0 \geq 0$ ,  $v_0 \geq 0$  are radial symmetric non-increasing. Then by the strong maximum principle,  $u_0, v_0$  are positive radial symmetric decreasing.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Theorem 1.1 follows directly from Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** In the case  $\mu > \mu_0$ , we see from Lemma 2.4 that  $m_{\mu, v, \lambda_{\mu, v}} = \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$  and  $m_{\mu, v, \lambda} < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$  for  $\lambda > \lambda_{\mu, v}$ , and the methods of proving Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 can't be used in case  $\lambda = \lambda_{\mu, v}$ . Hence, the existence of the ground states for this case remains an open question.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Assume  $\mu, v, \lambda$  satisfy the hypotheses in (1) or (2)(ii) of Theorem 1.1, and let  $(u, v)$  be any a ground state of (1.3). Then  $u \neq 0, v \neq 0$ . Define  $u^+ := \max\{u, 0\}$  and  $u^- := \max\{-u, 0\}$ . Then without loss of generality, we assume that  $(u^+, v^+) \neq (0, 0)$ . By (2.2) and (2.3) we see that  $t_{\lambda, |u|, |v|} \leq t_{\lambda, u, v} = 1$ , and

$$m := m_{\mu, v, \lambda} \leq I(t_{\lambda, |u|, |v|} |u|, t_{\lambda, |u|, |v|} |v|) \leq I(u, v) = m.$$

It follows that  $t_{\lambda, |u|, |v|} = 1$ , that is,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u| |v| \, dx$ . Combining this with  $I'(u, v) \times (u^+, v^+) = 0$  we get that  $(u^+, v^+) \in M$ . Then  $I(u, v) \leq I(u^+, v^+)$ , and so  $(u^-, v^-) = (0, 0)$ , that is,  $u \geq 0, v \geq 0$ .

Remark that system (1.3) is cooperative (the definition can be seen in [10]) since  $0 < \lambda < \sqrt{\mu v}$ . Then by [10] we have that  $(u, v)$  is radial up to a translation. So we may assume that  $(u, v)$  is radial symmetric.

Assume that there exists  $(u_n, v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that they are positive radial symmetric ground states of (1.3) and  $u_n(0) + v_n(0) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} u_n(x) + \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} v_n(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then by a similar blowup analysis as in Lemma 2.6 we get a contradiction. Thus, there exists a positive constant  $C = C(\mu, v, \lambda)$  independent of  $(u, v)$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C.$$

By elliptic regularity theory, we have  $u, v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ . Hence, by the strong maximum principle, we have  $u, v > 0$ .

By a similar argument in Lemma 4.5 of [12], we see that  $u, v$  are both decreasing with respect to  $r = |x| \in [0, +\infty)$ .

Finally, fix any  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0), v > 0$  and let  $\lambda_n \in (0, \sqrt{\mu v})$  such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Let  $(u_{\lambda_n}, v_{\lambda_n})$  be any positive radial ground state of (1.3) with  $\lambda = \lambda_n$ . By the proof of (1) in Lemma 2.4 we have  $m_{\mu, v, \lambda_n} \leq f_\mu(w_\mu) < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$ . Similarly to (2.17), we see that  $\{(u_{\lambda_n}, v_{\lambda_n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $H$ . Then passing to a subsequence, we may assume that  $(u_{\lambda_n}, v_{\lambda_n}) \rightharpoonup (u_0, v_0)$  weakly in  $H$ , and so  $(u_0, v_0)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \mu u = |u|^{p-1} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + v v = |v|^{2^*-2} v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases}$$

This means  $v_0 \equiv 0$ . Since  $u_{\lambda_n}$  is radial, one has that  $u_0$  is radial and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{\lambda_n}|^{p+1} \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{p+1} \, dx.$$

We claim that  $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ . Assume by contradiction that  $u_0 \equiv 0$ . Similarly as Remark 1.4, by Pohozaev identity and  $I'_{\mu, v, \lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}, v_{\lambda_n})(u_{\lambda_n}, v_{\lambda_n}) = 0$  we have

$$\left(\frac{2^*}{p+1} - 1\right) |u_{\lambda_n}|_{p+1}^{p+1} = \frac{2^* - 2}{2} \left( \mu |u_{\lambda_n}|_2^2 + v |v_{\lambda_n}|_2^2 - 2\lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_{\lambda_n} v_{\lambda_n} \, dx \right).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  we have  $\mu|u_{\lambda_n}|_2^2 + \nu|v_{\lambda_n}|_2^2 \rightarrow 0$ . By  $I'_{\mu,\nu,\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}, v_{\lambda_n})(0, v_{\lambda_n}) = 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\lambda_n}|^2 dx - |v_{\lambda_n}|_{2^*}^{2^*} \right) = 0.$$

By (2.20) below,  $\{|v_{\lambda_n}|_{2^*}^{2^*}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded. Then, passing to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\lambda_n}|^2 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |v_{\lambda_n}|_{2^*}^{2^*} =: B_0.$$

From Lemma 2.1 we have  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} m_{\mu,\nu,\lambda_n} \geq m_{\mu,\nu,\lambda_1} > 0$  and so  $B_0 > 0$ . Using Sobolev inequality (1.5) we have  $B_0 \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$ . Using (2.20) again, we have  $\frac{1}{N} B_0 \leq f_\mu(w_\mu) < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $u_0 \not\equiv 0$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} f_\mu(w_\mu) &\leq f_\mu(u_0) = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) |u_0|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) |v_{\lambda_n}|_{2^*}^{2^*} + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) |u_{\lambda_n}|_{p+1}^{p+1} \right] \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} m_{\mu,\nu,\lambda_n} \leq f_\mu(w_\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

This implies  $f_\mu(u_0) = f_\mu(w_\mu)$  and so  $u_0$  is a positive radial ground state of

$$-\Delta u + \mu u = |u|^{p-1}u, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Moreover, we have  $|v_{\lambda_n}|_{2^*}^{2^*} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus

$$\|u_0\|_\mu^2 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \|u_{\lambda_n}\|_\mu^2 + \|v_{\lambda_n}\|_\nu^2 - 2\lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_{\lambda_n} v_{\lambda_n} dx \right),$$

which implies  $(u_{\lambda_n}, v_{\lambda_n}) \rightarrow (u_0, 0)$  strongly in  $H$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the anonymous referees very much for letting us know the reference [14] and for the valuable suggestions and comments, which improves the manuscript a lot.

## References

- [1] N. Akhmediev, A. Ankiewicz, Novel soliton states and bifurcation phenomena in nonlinear fiber couplers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 70 (1993) 2395–2398.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, Remarks on some systems of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 4 (2008) 35–46.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti, E. Colorado, D. Ruiz, Multi-bump solitons to linearly coupled systems of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 30 (2007) 85–112.



- [4] A. Ambrosetti, G. Cerami, D. Ruiz, Solitons of linearly coupled systems of semilinear non-autonomous equations on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *J. Funct. Anal.* 254 (2008) 2816–2845.
- [5] A. Bahri, Y.Y. Li, On a min-max procedure for the existence of a positive solution for certain scalar field equations in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 6 (1990) 1–16.
- [6] A. Bahri, P.L. Lions, On the existence of a positive solution of semilinear elliptic equations in unbounded domains, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 14 (1997) 365–413.
- [7] H. Berestycki, P.L. Lions, Nonlinear Scalar field equations. I Existence of a ground state. II Existence of infinitely many solutions, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 82 (1983) 313–346, 347–376.
- [8] H. Brezis, E.H. Lieb, Minimum action solutions of some vector field equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 96 (1984) 97–113.
- [9] J. Byeon, L. Jeanjean, M. Maris, Symmetry and monotonicity of least energy solutions, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 36 (2009) 481–492.
- [10] J. Busca, B. Sirakov, Symmetry results for semilinear elliptic systems in the whole space, *J. Differential Equations* 163 (2000) 41–56.
- [11] C.C. Chen, C.S. Lin, Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 50 (1997) 971–1017.
- [12] Z. Chen, W. Zou, On coupled systems of Schrödinger equations, *Adv. Differential Equations* 16 (2011) 775–800.
- [13] O. Druet, From one bubble to several bubbles: The low-dimension case, *J. Differential Geom.* 63 (2003) 399–473.
- [14] O. Druet, E. Hebey, Sharp asymptotics and compactness for local low energy solutions of critical elliptic systems in potential form, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 31 (2008) 205–230.
- [15] C. Hsia, C.S. Lin, H. Wadade, Revisiting an idea of Brezis and Nirenberg, *J. Funct. Anal.* 259 (2010) 1816–1849.
- [16] M.K. Kwong, Uniqueness of positive solutions of  $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 105 (1989) 243–266.
- [17] P.H. Rabinowitz, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 43 (1992) 270–291.
- [18] W.A. Strauss, Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 55 (1977) 149–162.